

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/007,262	BISTRUP ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631

**All Participants:**

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paula Borden.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 November 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

16-17 and 30-32

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Marjorie A. Moran*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms. Borden that SEQ ID NO: 2, as recited in claim 30 was free of the prior art, and suggested an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. In a return call, Ms. Borden stated htat applicants wished to review any rejections and did not wish to amend the claims at this time..